



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/594,205	06/14/2000	Jonathan Huie	 SIA-P008	8285	
22877 7:	590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER		
FERNANDEZ & ASSOCIATES LLP			DELGADO, MICHAEL A		
1047 EL CAM	INO REAL				
SUITE 201			ART UNIT "	PAPER NUMBER	
MENLO PARK	K, CA 94025		2144	1,	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/290	4 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i			<i>POL</i>					
		Application	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/594,205	HUIE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael S. A. Delgado	2144					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence addr ss					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J							
<i>'</i> —	·	s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand otion is required if the drawing(s)	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been in the land (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachmen	t(s)	_						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	Immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) -					



Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,460,120 by Bass et al.

In claim 1, Bass teaches about a high performance network address processor comprising (Fig 1):

a longest prefix match lookup engine for receiving a network address request having a designated network destination address (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15); and

an associated data engine "Data Store Coprocessor" coupled to the longest prefix match lookup engine "Tree Search Engine Coprocessor" for receiving an output address "forwarding information" from the longest prefix match lookup engine and providing a network address

Art Unit: 2144

processor "protocol processor" data output corresponding to the designated network destination address (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15), (Col 8, line 65-Col 9, line 10).

In claim 2, Bass teaches about a high performance network address processor of claim 1 wherein the longest prefix match lookup engine comprises a plurality of pipelined lookup tables (Col 20, line 65-Col 21, line 5).

In claim 3, Bass teaches about a high performance network address processor of claim 1 wherein the network address processor is configurable to a variety of destination address width (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15).

In claim 4, Bass teaches about a high performance network address processor of claim 1 wherein the network address processor generates a network address data output in one clock cycle (Col 30, lines 45-65).

In claim 5, Bass teaches about a high performance network address processor integrated circuit, wherein the network address processor integrated circuit comprises (Fig 1):

a longest prefix match lookup engine "Tree Search Engine Coprocessor" for receiving a network address request having a designated network destination address (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15); and

an associated data engine "Data Store Coprocessor" coupled to the longest prefix match lookup engine "Tree Search Engine Coprocessor" for receiving an output address "forwarding information" from longest prefix match lookup engine and providing a network address processor "protocol processor" data output corresponding to the designated network destination address (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15), (Col 8, line 65-Col 9, line 10).

Art Unit: 2144

In claim 6, Bass teaches about a high performance network address processor of claim 5 wherein the longest prefix match lookup engine comprises a plurality of pipelined lookup tables (Col 20, line 65-Col 21, line 5).

In claim 7, Bass teaches about a high performance network address processor of claim 2 wherein the plurality of pipelined lookup tables is implemented in a DRAM (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15), (Col 9, lines 45-55).

In claim 8, Bass teaches about a high performance network addressing method comprising the steps of (Fig 1):

providing a longest prefix match lookup engine "Tree Search Engine Coprocessor" with a network address data request and a destination network address, wherein the longest prefix match lookup engine comprises a set of lookup tables (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15), (Col 20, line 65-Col 21, line 5);

searching the set of lookup tables to select a look up engine address output from the set of lookup tables to provide to an associated data engine "protocol processor" (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15); and

searching the associated data engine "Data Store Coprocessor" to provide an associated destination address data output (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 25).

In claim 9, Bass teaches about a high performance network addressing method of claim 8 wherein the step of searching the set of lookup tables comprises searching for an entry of the set of lookup tables that comprises the smallest entry that is greater than or equal to an input search

Art Unit: 2144

key, the step of searching for the smallest entry comprising the steps of (Col 7, line 60-Col 8, line 15):

selecting the smallest entry that equals the input search key with a corresponding number of mask bits, wherein if one or more entries comprise the same key, the key having the smallest mask is selected (Col 25, line 45-Col 26, line 5); and

wherein if no key matches the above requirements, the maximum key in a row is compared with the input search key using each of a set of mask pointer pairs, each of the pointer is selected to correspond to the smallest mask for which the input search key equals the maximum key in the row with the corresponding number of mask bits ignored (Col 25, line 45-Col 26, line 5).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US patent No. 6,539,369 by Brown, teaches about a method and apparatus for storing sparse and dense subtrees in a longest prefix match lookup table.

US patent No. 6,460,112 by Srinivasan et al, teaches about a method and apparatus for determining a longest prefix match in a content addressable memory device.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2144

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael S. A. Delgado whose telephone number is 703-305-8057. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4.30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703)308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6